



SfRBM Travel Award Judging Criteria

1. All abstracts submitted for the Travel Awards and Young Investigator Awards are subjected to a double-blind online review process.
2. The reviewers are both SfRBM and non members worldwide who are senior investigators in public and private educational, research, or industrial sectors.
3. Each abstract is reviewed by a minimum of 10-12 (but no more than 15) reviewers. The reviewers assign a score of 1-10 to each abstract, with the score of 10 being the best.
4. The average scores are ranked among all participants.
5. The top 10 US participants are then identified as the US Travel Award recipients.
6. A minimum of 50% of the overall Travel Award budget is to support students residing in participant SfRBM countries outside of the USA (Latin America and Canada). The remaining fund is then awarded to participants from the rest of the world. The awardees are selected based on their abstract ranking.
7. Based on distribution of the Travel Award abstracts in the 15 topic area, the Program Committee then make decisions on assigning each presenter to an oral or poster session.
8. Accuracy, impact, and quality of presentation are the criteria for evaluating candidates for the Young Investigator Awards (see YIA section for detailed judging criteria).

*Updated by the SfRBM Junior Awards Committee
6/28/18*