Oxygen 2002

Sunrise Free Radical School

November 21-24, 2002 San Antonio, TX

What do 'NO, O=NOO', NO₂, N₂O₃, *etc.* do, and how do they do it: Basics

James K. Hurst, Ph.D.

Department of Chemistry FULMER 170 Washington State University PULLMAN, WA 99164-4630 Tel: 509-335-7848/5-5334 Fax: 509-335-8867 E-mail: <u>hurst@wsu.edu</u>

Lecture Notes--

Biologically Relevant Chemistry of ONOOH and Its Reaction Products

James K. Hurst Department of Chemistry Washington State University Pullman, WA 99164

- *Goal:* To provide the chemical background required to productively contemplate origins and consequences of peroxynitrite formation in biological environments
- *Challenges:* Critical errors exist in the chemical literature on reactive nitrogen species (RNS) and these have been inadvertently incorporated into discussions of biological reaction mechanisms.

"An expert seldom gives an objective view; he gives his own view"--

Morarji Desai

• *Caveats:* The pathophysiology of oxidative stress may bear no simple relationship to the major reaction pathways of RNS and reactive oxygen species (ROS).* This seems particularly likely for c hronic diseases whose progression involves long periods of insult, but could also be an issue under conditions of acute exposure to oxidants.

*e.g., toxicity of OH[•] toward bacteria:



Premise 1: OH' is not very toxic in biological environments because it is too reactive to reach vulnerable targets.

<u>Toxin</u>	\underline{LD}_{90}	rel. toxicity		
(E. coli)	(molecules/bacterium)			
	0			
HOCl	~ 10 ⁸	1.0		
H_2O_2	$\approx 3 \times 10^{11}$	~0.003		
CO_3	$\sim 10^{10}$	~0.01		
OH.	$\sim 2 \times 10^{11}$	~0.005		
(however, killing by OH [•] is intracellular!)				
OH [•] (internal)*	* ~ 10 ⁵	~ 1000		
*internal volume of 10^6 cells/mL $\simeq 5 \times 10^{-7}$ cm ³				

Conclusion: intracellularly generated OH is highly toxic!

Premise 2: OH' formed by ONOOH bond homolysis cannot be a significant component of the oxidative load because bimolecular reactions of ONOO⁻/ONOOH (e.g., with CO₂, thiols (RSH), metalloproteins) will predominate in biological environments.

$$\begin{array}{c|c} NO_2^{\bullet} + OH^{\bullet} \\ k_1 \\ \hline \\ k_2[M^{n+}] \\ \hline \\ ONOO^{-}/ONOOH \\ \hline \\ k_2'[CO_2] \\ \hline \\ \end{array}$$

At pH 7.4, $k_1 \approx 0.34 \text{ s}^{-1}$ $k_2[M^{n+}] \leq 300 \text{ s}^{-1}$ $k_2'[CO_2] \approx 20 \text{ s}^{-1}$ $k_2''[RSH] \leq 3 \text{ s}^{-1}$

Simple competition kinetics predicts:

 $[OH^{\bullet}]/[ONOO^{\bullet}/ONOOH] = k_1/\Sigma(k_i[X_i]) \leq 0.015$

i.e., less than 2% of the peroxynitrite formed will generate OH[•]. Nonetheless, if intracellular OH[•] is 10^3 times more toxic than other oxidants, it could still be a significant component of oxidative stress.

Possible Biological Origins of ONOO⁻/ONOOH

A. **NO' + O_2^{\bullet} \rightarrow ONOO^{\bullet}** (radical coupling, $k \approx 10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$)

Primary sources of NO[•] and O₂^{••} in respiring tissues:



catalyzed by NOS isozymes (nNOS(I), iNOS(II), eNOS(III))

O₂[•]:

i) O_2 -binding heme proteins--



e.g., hemoglobin (Hb); myoglobin (Mb); cytochrome P_{450} : L-arg or tetrahydrobiopterin (H₄B)-depleted NOS.

$$v(O-O) = 1105 \text{ cm}^{-1} (\text{Hb-O}_2, \text{Mb-O}_2) \qquad \text{cf. } 1556 \text{ cm}^{-1} (O=O) \\ 1140 \text{ cm}^{-1} (P_{450}-O_2) \qquad 1145 \text{ cm}^{-1} (\text{KO}_2) \\ 836 \text{ cm}^{-1} (\text{NH}_4O_2\text{H}) \end{cases}$$

ii) endogenous semiquinones (e.g., mitochondrial bc complex (III))--

$$Q^{-}/QH + O_2 \rightarrow Q(+H^+) + O_2^{-}$$

iii) stimulated phagocytes (e.g., neutrophil)--



iv) molybdoflavoenzymes (e.g., xanthine oxidase)



A conceptual problem (?)-optimal formation of ONOO⁻/ONOOH requires approximately equal fluxes
of NO[•] and O₂^{•-}

e.g., ONOOH $\xrightarrow{k_1(\text{ slow})} \{\text{int}\} \xrightarrow{\text{DHR}} \text{Rh}(\text{hv}) + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{NO}_2^-$



data from: [Jourd"heuil, et al. J.Biol Chem. 2001, 276, 28799-28805]

Interpretation:



• Is NOS capable of simultaneous generation of NO and $O_2^{-?}$?



[Stuehr et al. J. Biol. Chem. 2001, 276, 14533-14536]

B. "Nitroxyl" reactions--

NO[•] + O₂[•] → ONOO⁻
+[e⁻]
$$\oint -[e-]$$
 E^{o}_{7} (NO,H⁺/¹HNO) = -0.55 V
¹HNO + O₂ → ONOOH

Potential biological sources of HNO--

• NOS (H_4B -depleted environments)

• RS-NO, e.g.:
$$RSH + N_2O_3 \longrightarrow RS-NO + NO_2^- + H^+$$

{ $NO^+ - NO_2^-$ }
RSH + RS-NO \longrightarrow RSSR + HNO

• Spin restrictions and kinetics—



ONOO^{-/}ONOOH formation from "nitroxyl" depends upon its physical properties (e.g., spin state, acidity, redox potential).

Previous description--

- HNO \Rightarrow H⁺ + ¹NO⁻, pK_a \approx 4.7 (weak acid, present as singlet anion in physiological milieu)
- reaction with O_2 is spin-forbidden

Reassessment--

"There is only as much truth in any natural science as there is mathematics in it"-as translated by Sergei Lymar from obscure Russian origins

[Shafirovich & Lymar, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci, USA 2002, 99, 7340-7345]



Photoreaction Dynamics (reactions with O_2 and NO'):



Biological implications--

- "nitroxyl" in physiological environments is ¹HNO (the acid) and is *strongly reducing* ($E_7^{\circ} \approx -0.55V$)
- ¹HNO and NO[•] are nitroxyl "sinks"-can ONOO⁻/ONOOH be formed from ¹HNO in physiological environments?

 $PN/[^{1}HNO] \approx (10^{3} \times [O_{2}] + 10^{7} \times [OH^{-}]) / \{10^{7} \times ([NO^{\bullet}] + [^{1}HNO])\}$ where PN = [ONOO^{-}] + [ONOOH]

If $[O_2] > [NO^{\bullet}]$, $[O_2] = 10 \ \mu\text{M}$, $[NO^{\bullet}] + [^1\text{HNO}] = 10 \ \text{nM}$, then at pH 7.4, PN/[^1HNO] ≈ 0.3 (or 30% of the ^1HNO decays to PN) However, if either [NO[•]] or [^1HNO] >> 10 nM, the PN yield is negligible.

(Experimentally-- $HN_2O_3^-$ decomposition causes O_2 to decrease, implying reaction with ¹HNO)

• Low reduction potential of NO[•] accounts for ability of "nitroxyl" to reduce redoxactive metalloproteins (e.g., (Cu-Zn)SOD, (Fe³⁺)cyt c, (Fe³⁺)Hb). What accounts for its ability to oxidize RSH and Hb-O₂? ¹HNO is also a *moderately strong oxidant*, i.e.,

1
HNO + 2H⁺ + 2e⁻ \rightarrow NH₂OH (E^o₇ \approx 0.3 V)

e.g.,
$$RSH + {}^{1}HNO \longrightarrow RS-NHOH \longrightarrow RSSR + NH_2OH$$

$$H^{+} + Hb-O_{2} + {}^{1}HNO \longrightarrow (Fe^{3+})Hb + NO + H_{2}O_{2}$$

$$(Fe^{3+}-O_{2})$$

$$Hb-O_{2} + NO \longrightarrow (Fe^{3+})Hb + NO_{3}$$

• Since ¹HNO is both strongly oxidizing and reducing, it is unstable to disproportionation:

 3^{1} HNO $\rightarrow 2$ NO[•] + NH₂OH, $\Delta E^{\circ}_{7} \simeq 0.85$ V

Thus, many redox-active metalloproteins are potentially capable of catalyzing ¹HNO decay.

C. "Nitrosyl" reactions--

$$NO^{+} + H_{2}O_{2} \longrightarrow H^{+} + ONOOH$$

$$+[e^{-}] / -[e^{-}] \qquad E^{0}(NO^{+/O}) = 1.2 V$$

$$NO^{\bullet} + O_{2}^{\bullet -} \longrightarrow ONOO^{-}$$

$$+[e^{-}] / -[e^{-}]$$

$$^{1}HNO + O_{2} \longrightarrow ONOOH$$

•
$$NO^+ + H_2O \Rightarrow NO_2^- + 2H^+; K = [NO_2^-][H^+]^2 / [NO^+] = 10^3 M^2$$

at pH 7.4, $[NO^+] / [NO_2^-] \approx 10^{-18}!$



at $[H_2O_2] \le 100 \ \mu\text{M}$, $[ONOOH]/[NO_2^-] \le 0.007$, i.e., less than 1% of the generated NO⁺ will form ONOOH.

Conclusion--

free NO⁺ is not involved in biological ONOO⁻/ONOOH formation

- too difficult to oxidize NO[•]
- at physiological [ONOOH], reaction cannot compete with hydration by H_2O .

"Stabilized" NO⁺--i.e., N₂O₃ (or NO⁺-NO₂⁻)--as a nitrosating agent?

$$2NO^{\bullet} + O_{2} \xrightarrow{R(asc, urate)} \uparrow NO_{2}^{\bullet} \xrightarrow{NO^{\bullet}} NO_{2}^{\bullet} \xrightarrow{NO^{\bullet}} N_{2}O_{3} \xrightarrow{H_{2}O_{2}} ONOOH + NO_{2}^{\bullet}$$

$$\downarrow RS^{\bullet} + NO_{2}^{\bullet} RS^{-} RS^{-} (cys, glutathione)$$

$$RS^{\bullet} + NO_{2}^{\bullet} RS^{-} RS^{-} NO + NO_{2}^{\bullet}$$

$$\begin{split} N_2O_3 \mbox{ reactions--} & \mbox{at pH 7.4: } k_{RSH} &\simeq 10^6 \ M^{-1} {\rm s}^{-1}; \ k_{H2O2} \leq 10^5 \ M^{-1} {\rm s}^{-1}; \ k_{H2O} [{\rm H}_2{\rm O}] &\simeq 10^3 \ {\rm s}^{-1} \\ & \mbox{if } [{\rm H}_2{\rm O}_2] = 0.1 \ m{\rm M}; \ [{\rm RSH}] = 5 \ m{\rm M}, \\ & \mbox{[ONOOH]/[N_2{\rm O}_3]} \leq k_{H2O2} [{\rm H}_2{\rm O}_2] / \Sigma \ (k_i [{\rm X}_i]) = 0.007 \ (0.7\%) \end{split}$$
 $\begin{aligned} NO_2 \ \mbox{reactions--} & \\ & \mbox{at pH 7.4: } k_R \simeq k_{RSH} \simeq 3 \times 10^7 \ M^{-1} {\rm s}^{-1}; \ k_{NO} = 10^9 \ M^{-1} {\rm s}^{-1} \\ & \mbox{if } [{\rm NO}^{\bullet}] \simeq 1 \ \mu{\rm M}; \ [{\rm urate}] \simeq 0.3 \ m{\rm M} \ ({\rm plasma}); \ [{\rm asc}] \simeq 0.5 \ m{\rm M} \ ({\rm cytosol}); \\ & \mbox{[RSH]} \simeq 5 \ m{\rm M} \ ({\rm cytosol}) \ {\rm or} \ 0.1 \ m{\rm M} \ ({\rm plasma}), \\ & \mbox{[N}_2O_3] / [{\rm NO}_2^{\bullet}] = k_{N2O3} [N_2O_3] / \Sigma \ (k_i [{\rm X}_i]) \simeq 0.006 \ ({\rm cytosol}) \\ & \mbox{0.08 } \ ({\rm plasma}) \end{aligned}$ $Overall-- \\ & \mbox{[ONOO]/[NO_2^{\bullet}]} = [N_2O_3] / [{\rm NO}_2^{\bullet}] \times [{\rm ONOOH}] / [N_2O_3] \leq 0.08 \times 0.007 = 6 \times 10^4 \\ & \mbox{(<0.1\%)} \end{aligned}$

Primary pathway for ONOO /ONOOH formation under physiological conditions is yet to be identified!

What might change this viewpoint?

• Predictions are based upon rate ratios measured for aqueous solution. However,



- Gases are more soluble in hydrocarbons than H₂O;
- In lipidic regions of tissues, reaction dynamics will be very different--s.p.,
 - reactions forming neutral products will be favored;
- intermediates susceptible to hydrolytic disproportionation by H_2O will be protected ($t_{1/2}$ will increase).

• Binding to metalloproteins can activate and stabilize ONOOH precursors:



- E^{o} of bound O_2 , NO[•] increased
- $t_{1/2}$ of reactive intermediates increased
- spin restrictions removed

What is peroxynitrite?

(Biologically relevant chemical properties)



X-ray structure { $(CH_3)_4N^+/OONO^-$ }:





N-O(peroxo) bond length = 1.35 Å N-O single bond length = 1.41 Å N=O double bond length = 1.20 Å

significant multiple bond character in the N-O peroxo bond indicated by bond length; delocalization of N lone pair electrons over nuclear framework stabilizes *cis* and *trans* planar geometries.



a weak acid: ONOOH
$$\implies$$
 ONOO⁻ + H⁺ $pK_a = 6.8$
cf, HOOH \implies HOO⁻ + H⁺ $pK_a = 11.6$

O-O bond
dissociation energies:
$$ONO - \xi - OH \longrightarrow NO_2^{\bullet} + OH^{\bullet}$$
 BDE = 20 kcal/mol
 $HO - \xi - OH \longrightarrow OH^{\bullet} + OH^{\bullet}$ BDE = 52 kcal/mol

A little peroxynitrite history:

- First prepared at the turm of the century [Baeyer & Villiger, *Berichte***1901**, *34*, 755], but not characterized until much later (1930's).
- Regarded as little more than a laboratory curiosity--e.g., the classic 1st text on mechanistic inorganic chemistry by Yost & Russell ("Systematic Inorganic Chemistry", 1946) gives it just one line.
- Some interesting research on aromatic hydroxylations and nitrations appeared in the 1950's [e.g., Halfpenny, E., Robinson, P. L. *J. Chem. Soc.* **1952**, 928-936, ibid. 939-946.]:



but was subsequently largely forgotten. Reactivity was attributed to formation of radical intermediates because diaryl coupling was observed among the minor products.

An early mechanistic study providing evidence for formation of OH[•] and NO₂[•] during ONOOH decomposition was published [Mahoney, L. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92,1562-1563], but also apparently overlooked by later researchers.



Chemical reactivity:

direct reactions:



(40%)

[S]

What is "*"? (i.e., the reactive intermediate):

"One of the symptoms of an approaching nervous breakdown is the belief that one's work is terribly important."--Bertrand Russell

Two alternative viewpoints:

Unreactive forms are geminate radical pairs; "*" represents radicals that escape (1)the cage:



support:

ONO-OH	BDE(calc.)	~20 kcal/mol
$ONO-OCO_2^-$	BDE(calc.)	~9 kcal/mol
cf. HO-OH	BDE (exptl.)	~52 kcal/mol
H_3C-CH_3	BDE (exptl.)	88 kcal/mol

peroxo O-O bonds are very weak!

(2)Unreactive and reactive forms are geometrical isomers:



support:

thermodynamic calculations show rate of O-O bond homolysis in ONOOH is too slow to account for observed reaction rates (?)

Thermodynamic estimates of ONOOH homolysis rates:

ONOOH
$$\xrightarrow{k_1}_{k_{-1}} NO_2^{\bullet} + OH^{\bullet}$$

[Koppenol et al., *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* **1992**, *5*, 834-842]: $k_1(calc.) = 10^{-4} \cdot 10^{-6} s^{-1}$ conclusion: since the experimentally determined 1st order rate constant for ONOOH decay is $\mathbf{k}_{decay} = 0.8 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (4-6 orders of magnitude larger than the estimated k_1 for bond homolysis), the reaction cannot occur by this pathway!

[Mereyni &Lind, Chem. Res. Toxicol. 1997, 10, 1216-1220]: $k_1(calc.) = 2.5 s^{-1}$ the estimated O-O homolysis rate constant (k_1) is totally consistent with the conclusion: measured k_{decay} .

[Koppenol & Kissner, *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* **1998**, *11*, 1216-1220]: $k_1(calc.) = 0.01 s^{-1}$ perhaps a few % decay by this pathway, but not much. conclusion:

What's the problem? Why can't our experts agree?

- $$\begin{split} &K = [NO_2^{\bullet}][OH^{\bullet}]/[ONOOH] = k_1/k_{-1} \qquad (k_{-1} \approx 5 \times 10^9 \ M^{-1} s^{-1}) \\ -RTlnK = \Delta G^{\circ} = \Delta_f G^{\circ}(NO_2^{\bullet})_{aq} + \Delta_f G^{\circ}(OH^{\bullet})_{aq} \Delta_f G^{\circ}(ONOOH)_{aq} \end{split}$$
 (1)
- (2)

(3)
$$\Delta_{\rm f} G^{\rm o} = \Delta_{\rm f} H^{\rm o} - T \Delta_{\rm f} S^{\rm o}$$

Enthalpies:

$$\Delta_{\rm f} {\rm H}^{\rm o}({\rm ONOO^{-}})_{\rm aq} = \Delta_{\rm f} {\rm H}^{\rm o}({\rm NO}_{\rm 3}^{-})_{\rm aq} - \Delta {\rm H}_{\rm 1}$$

isomerization, i.e., $ONOO^{-} \rightarrow NO_{3}^{-})$ $\Delta_{\rm f} {\rm H}^{\rm o}({\rm ONOOH})_{\rm aq} = \Delta_{\rm f} {\rm H}^{\rm o}({\rm ONOO}^{-})_{\rm aq} - \Delta {\rm H}_2$ ($\Delta {\rm H}_2$ = measured heat of ionization, i.e., $ONOOH \Rightarrow ONOO^- + H^+)$

 $(\Delta H_1 = measured heat of$

Entropies:

 $ONOOH(g) \rightarrow ONOOH(aq)$

or for which $S^{\circ}(aq) = S^{\circ}(g) + \Delta S^{\circ}$, where ΔS° = solvation entropy $ONOO^{-}(g) \rightarrow ONOO^{-}(aq)$

 ΔS° is very difficult to estimate!

A kinetic approach:



radical pathways for ONOOH decay (note: these exist !-- the issue is whether or not they can account for the observed product yields):

i) direct decomposition:

 $\xrightarrow{OH + INO_2} ONOO^{-} \bigvee \xrightarrow{O_2 + NO_2^{-}} O_2 + NO_2^{-}$ $ONOOH \longrightarrow OH + NO_2$ $H^{+} + NO_{2}^{-} + O_{2}^{-}$

Net: **ONOOH + ONOO** \rightarrow **O**₂ + 2NO₂ + H⁺

ii) NO₂ -mediated isomerization:

OH⁺ + NO₂
NO₂
$$H_2O \rightarrow 2H^+ + NO_2^- + NO_3^-$$

ONOOH $\rightarrow OH + NO_2$

Net: **ONOOH**
$$\longrightarrow$$
 H⁺ + **NO**₃

iii) NO₂-mediated decomposition:

iv) N_2O_3 -catalyzed decomposition:

$$ONOO^{-} \longrightarrow NO + O_{2}^{-} \longrightarrow O_{2} + ONO_{2}^{-} \longrightarrow O_{2} + NO_{2}^{-} \longrightarrow O_{2} + NO_{2} + NO_{2}^{-} \longrightarrow O_{2} + NO_{2} +$$

Net: **ONOOH** + **ONOO**^{\sim} \longrightarrow **O**₂ + 2NO₂^{\sim} + H⁺ Net: 2ONOO^{\sim} \longrightarrow **O**₂ + 2NO₂^{\sim}



Conclusion: the radical pathway accurately predicts decomposition yields

More predictions of the radical model:

- (i) NO_2^- inhibits O_2 formation in acidic media; not in alkaline;
- (ii) inhibition by organic radical scavengers will be reversed by NO_2^{-1} in alkaline media;
- (iii) inhibition by $\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6^{4-}$ will not be reversed by NO_2^{-} .

Prediction (i):



In alkaline media, NO_2^{-1} formed from reaction of NO_2^{-1} with OH[•] with NO[•] formed by ONOO⁻ dissociation to give same products as direct decomposition; in acid NO_2^{-1} reacts with another NO_2^{-1} to give net isomerization.

Predictions (ii) and (iii):

Organic radicals formed in reactions with OH[•] decay by pathways that do not form O_2 ; the more reactive NO_2^- effectively scavenges OH[•] in the presence of these compounds, generating O_2 by pathway iii. Fe(CN)₆⁴⁻ reacts rapidly with both NO₂[•] and OH[•], effectively quenching any further reaction, e.g.,



$$ONOOH \longrightarrow OH + NO_2 \xrightarrow{2 \operatorname{Fe}(CN)_6^{4-}} OH^- + NO_2^- + 2 \operatorname{Fe}(CN)_6^{3-}$$

(NO₂⁻mediated):

 $(NO_2^- absent)$:

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{OH}^{-} + \text{NO}_{2} \xrightarrow{\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_{6}^{4-}} \text{NO}_{2}^{-} + \text{Fe}(\text{CN})_{6}^{3-} \\ \text{NO}_{2}^{-} & & \\ \text{ONOOH} \xrightarrow{-} \text{OH} + \text{NO}_{2} \xrightarrow{\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_{6}^{4-}} \text{NO}_{2}^{-} + \text{Fe}(\text{CN})_{6}^{3-} \end{array}$

Net:
$$ONOOH + 2 Fe(CN)_6^4 \longrightarrow NO_2^2 + OH^2 + 2 Fe(CN)_6^3$$

Conclusion: OH' and NO₂' radical formation during ONOOH decay is extensive. At present no undisputed evidence exists that is inconsistent with this reaction model--[Coddington, J.W. et al. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1999**, *121*, 2438-2443].



Reactive intermediates in bicarbonate media:

How do we know that ONOO⁻ and CO₂ are the reactants?



pH-rate profile indicates that reactant pairs are *either (ONOOH, HCO₃⁻) or (ONOO⁻, CO₂)*. These can be distinguished by a stopped-flow pH-jump experiment because the equilibrium: $HCO_3^- + H^+ \rightleftharpoons \{H_2CO_3\} \rightarrow H_2O + CO_2$

is slow in neutral solutions:



What is "*" (the reactive intermediate) formed in the indirect reactions of $ONOOCO_2^{-?}$?



direct flow-EPR detection of CO₃⁻ from reaction of ONOO⁻ with CO₂: [Bonini et al. J. Biol. Chem. **1999**, 274, 10802-10806]

Conclusion: "Indirect" reactions occur by O-O bond homolysis in peroxynitrite adducts (ONOOH, $ONOOCO_2^{-}$) to generate reactive radicals (OH[•], CO₃⁻, NO₂[•]) as secondary oxidants